



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,562	02/26/2002	Srikanth Gummadi	TI-33211AA	1260
23494 7590 11/01/2007 TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			EXAMINER CORRIELUS, JEAN B	
			ART UNIT 2611	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 11/01/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com
uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/085,562

Applicant(s)

GUMMADI ET AL.

Examiner

Jean B Corrielus

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1- 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art figs. 1-6 in view of Radi US Patent No. 6,594,327.

As per claim 1, applicant background of the invention and admitted prior art figs. 1-6 disclose a method and apparatus (fig. 6) comprising receiving the stream of digital sample values fig. 2; correlating a digital sample value with a plurality of received digital sample values 610 to generate a corresponding plurality of correlation results 615; calculating a correlation value (output of adder 620) from corresponding plurality of correlation results 615 using adder 620; comparing the correlation value against a threshold, and determining the presence of the boundary based on the comparison see page 2, line 29-page 3, line 2. However, the admitted prior art figure 6 does not explicitly teach "a received digital value" is correlated with the plurality of other received digital sample values. In the same field of endeavor, Radi teaches the step of correlating in correlator 504 "a received digital value" output by 512 a plurality of other received digital sample values output of buffer 502. In addition, Radi further teaches that the "received digital value and the "other digital values" are part of the same received signal. See col.

Art Unit: 2611

9, lines 50-65 and col. 6, table 1. Given that fact, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to modify applicant's admitted prior art figure 6 in the manner taught by Radi so as to improve system configuration and/or performance.

As per claim 2, the digital sample value is a recently received digital sample value see page 14, lines 13-15.

As per claim 3, it is well known in the art in order to generate digital samples it is necessary to periodically sampling the communications channel. Given that fact, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to configure applicant's background of the invention in such a way to sample the communication channel in order to recover the original signal.

As per claim 4, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to receive a recently sampled value as the digital sample value so as to satisfy processing requirements of the system.

As per claim 5, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to correlate a pair of received digital samples with a plurality of pairs of other received digital samples and the reason to do so would have been the same as provided above in reference to claim 1.

As per claim 6, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to correlate a group of received digital samples with a plurality of groups of other received digital samples and the reason to do so would have been the same as provided above in reference to claim 1.

As per claim 7, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to set the group to include a three -value correlation and the reason to do so would have been the same as provided above in reference to claim 1.

As per claim 8, the plurality of other received digital sample values are selected from the received stream based on their position in different periods of a periodic sequence see fig. 6.

3. Claims 11 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art figs. 1-6 in view of Radi US Patent No. 6,594,327 and further in view of Okanou et al US Patent No. 6,738,439.

As per claim 11, Applicant background of the invention and admitted prior art figs. 1-6 disclose a method and apparatus (fig. 6) comprising receiving the stream of digital sample values fig. 2., correlating a digital sample value with a plurality of other received digital sample values 610 to generate a corresponding plurality of correlation results 615; calculating a correlation value (output of adder 620) from corresponding plurality of correlation results 615 using adder 620, comparing the correlation value against a threshold, and determining the presence of the boundary based on the comparison see page 2, line 29-page 3, line 2. However, applicant's admitted prior art does not teach the further limitation of determining the presence of the "packet boundary" based on the comparison it only teaches determining the presence of the boundary based on the comparison see page 2, line 29-page 3, line 2 it also fails to explicitly teach "a single digital value" is correlated with a plurality of received digital sample values. In the same field of endeavor, Radi teaches the step of correlating in correlator 504 "a received

Art Unit: 2611

digital value" output by 512 a plurality of other received digital sample values output of buffer 502. In addition, Radi further teaches that the "received digital value and the "other digital values" are part of the same received signal. See col. 9, lines 50-65 and col. 6, table 1. Given that fact, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to modify applicant's admitted prior art figure 6 in the manner taught by Radi so as to improve system configuration and/or performance. In addition, Okanou et al teaches the further limitation of determining the presence of the packet boundary based on the comparison see col. 1, lines 32-38. Given that, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to incorporate such a teaching in applicant's background of the invention and Radi so as to enhanced signal detection.

As per claim 15, the received stream is stored in memory see page 13, lines 13-15, and the plurality of received digital sample values', generating a one value for each time the digital sample value matches with one of the digital sample values in the plurality', and generating a zero value for each time the digital sample value does not match with one of the digital sample values in the plurality see page 14, lines 10-13.

As per claim 16, the calculating step comprises 2 summing up a correlation result resulting from each correlation of the received digital sample value with the plurality of previously received other digital sample values see adder 620.

As per claim 17, applicant's background of the invention further teaches that the correlating and calculating steps are performed more than once and an average correlation value is determined and compared against a threshold. See page 15, lines 9-11.

As per claim 18, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to perform packet detection after each digital sample value is received so as to satisfy processing requirements of the system.

As per claim 19, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to perform packet detection after a specified number of digital sample values is received and the reason to do so would have been the same as provided above in reference to claim 18.

4. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art figs. 1-6 in view of Radi US Patent No. 6,594,327 and further in view of Okanou et al US Patent No. 6,738,439 and further in view of Lee US Patent Application Publication No. US2001/0005378A1.

As per claim 12, as applied to claim 11 above, Applicant's admitted prior art Radi and Okanou teach every feature of the claimed invention but do not explicitly teach the further limitation of "wherein the packet is transmitted over a previously idle communication channel". In the same field of endeavor, Lee teaches the transmission of a packet over a previously idle communication channel see paragraph 0012. Given that fact, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to incorporate such a teaching in applicant's admitted prior art Radi and Okanou in order to avoid data lost.

As per claim 13, it is well known in the art in order to generate digital samples it is necessary to periodically sampling the communications channel. Given that fact, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to configure applicant's background of

Art Unit: 2611

the invention in such a way to sample the communication channel in order to recover the original signal.

As per claim 14, it would have been obvious that the digital sample of the idle communications channel would have been different in value from a digital sample of the communication channel transmitting the packets as the idle communication channel includes no real data as opposed to the communication channel that includes real data.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/5/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that "while register 502 may hold received data that is stored in frame buffer memory 206, register 506 and frame set register 512 do not store received digital values". Examiner disagrees. At col. 7, lines 64-65, Radi clearly teaches that the FSR value includes values **Ft/Fs/Fe** or a combination thereof and note that Ft/Fs/Fe is part of the structure of the super frame or extended super frame stored in memory 206 see col. 6, lines 1-14 and table 1 in that, the register 512 stores the current bit of FSR see col. 9, lines 55-56. Hence, the single bit or received digital value output of register 506 and the other received digital values output of register 502 are part of the same signal, stored in memory 206, structure of which is shown in table 1 of col. 6.

Conclusion

6. **ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2611


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is 571-272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Jean B Corrieus
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2611

1b-2607